Inamori School of Engineering

Faculty Scholarship

2022-04

Synthesis, Processing and the Effect of Thermal Treatment on the Solubility, Antioxidant Potential and Cytocompatibility of Y2O3 and CeO2 doped SiO2-SrO-Na2O Glass-Ceramics

Wren, Anthony

Placek LM, Keenan TJ, coughlan A, Wren AW. Synthesis, Processing and the Effect of Thermal Treatment on the Solubility, Antioxidant Potential and Cytocompatibility of Y2O3 and CeO2 doped SiO2-SrO-Na2O Glass-Ceramics. Journal of Biomaterials Applications. 2022;37(1):102-117. doi:10.1177/08853282221078448 SAGE

https://doi.org/10.1177/08853282221078448 https://libraries.alfred.edu/AURA/termsofuse

This is the Accepted Manuscript of the following article: Placek LM, Keenan TJ, coughlan A, Wren AW. Synthesis, Processing and the Effect of Thermal Treatment on the Solubility, Antioxidant Potential and Cytocompatibility of Y2O3 and CeO2 doped SiO2-SrO-Na2O Glass-Ceramics. Journal of Biomaterials Applications. 2022;37(1):102-117, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1177/08853282221078448. The article is protected by copyright and reuse is restricted to non-commercial and no derivative uses.

Downloaded from AURA: Alfred University Research & Archives

Synthesis, Processing and the Effect of Thermal Treatment on the Solubility, Antioxidant Potential and Cytocompatibility of Y₂O₃ and CeO₂ doped SiO₂-SrO-Na₂O Glass-Ceramics.

L. M. Placek¹, T.J. Keenan¹, A. Coughlan², A.W. Wren¹

¹ Kazuo Inamori School of Engineering, Alfred University, Alfred NY, USA.
²Department of Bioengineering, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH, USA.

Keywords: Bioactive Glass, Yttrium, Cerium, Bioceramic, Nerve, Antioxidant Capacity

Address for Correspondence:

Anthony W. Wren PhD Kazuo Inamori School of Engineering, Alfred University, Alfred, New York 14802, USA. Tel: 607-871-2183 Email: wren@alfred.edu

ABSTRACT

Thermal treatment of a 0.52SiO₂-0.24SrO-0.24-xNa₂O-xMO glass-ceramic series (where x = 0.08 and MO = Y₂O₃ or CeO₂) was conducted in order to synthesize yttrium (Y³⁺) and cerium (Ce³⁺) crystalline species that may act as radical oxygen specie (ROS) scavengers. The prominent phase for the *Control* is a sodium-strontium-silicate while the experimental glass-ceramics (*HY*, *YCe*, *HCe*) present sodium-Y/Ce-silicate and oxide phases. Disk shrinkage during thermal processing ranges from 1-7% for *Control*, *HY*, *YCe* and *HCe* in both diameter and thickness. Solubility studies determined that the release of Si⁴⁺ and Na⁺ are greatest from the *Control* disks which peaks at 1550 µg/mL. Release from the Y³⁺ and Ce³⁺ glass-ceramics reached 320 µg/mL for Si⁴⁺ and 630 µg/mL for Na⁺. The range of antioxidant capacity (ABTS assay) for all samples was 0.31–3.9 mMTE. No significant reduction in MC 3T3 Osteoblast cell viability was observed for any composition tested.

1 INTRODUCTION

Biological response to nerve injury includes: influx of monocytes, lymphocytes, and inflammatory mediators, activation of microglia and astrocytes, formation of the glial scar and is accompanied by changes in the new extracellular matrix (ECM) and changes in the extracellular fluid composition where calcium (Ca²⁺), glutamate, aspartate and iron are commonly released thereby stimulating the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) at the site^[1-5]. While these are natural repair mechanisms, they often result in degeneration of the axon at the site of severance^[2, 6-9]. This work aims to mediate the effect of biologically generated ROS through composition and process modification of a bioactive glass series.

Ion release and surface dissolution of bioactive glasses (BG) is a highly desirable therapeutic characteristic resulting in biodegradable properties when immersed in a hydrated medium. The most widely researched BG is Bioglass and has been commercialized and successfully applied in restorative dentistry and orthopedics today. This material was originally developed in 1969 by Prof. Larry Hench at the University of Florida and the subsequent class of materials that stemmed from this research, *i.e.* BG, has been used to formulate numerous therapeutic glass based biomaterials ever since^[10-12]. BG based materials include glass-ceramic scaffolds for orthopedic applications^[11, 13, 14], glass polyalkenoate bone cements^[15-17], and glass microspheres for cancer treatment^[18, 19]. Since their inception, bioactive glasses have been applied and investigated for hard tissue repair as they stimulate osteogenesis in *in vitro* models^[11]. Bioactive glasses are characterized by their ability to promote healing within the body due to the dissolution of the glass surface and ion exchange upon exposure to physiological medium or body fluids. The specific mechanism includes soluble Si⁴⁺ being released into the surrounding medium in the form of silicic acid due to ion exchange with H^+ and $H_3O^{+[20]}$. This subsequently results in the precipitation of an amorphous calcium phosphate layer which crystallizes to a carbonated hydroxyapatite layer (HCA). This characteristic is essential for the initiation of the bioactive cascade resulting in the deposition of a hydroxycarbonate apatite (HCA) surface layer and subsequent integration with host tissues. The released ions have been shown, in appropriate quantities to be therapeutic, where the most commonly investigated ions Si⁴⁺, Ca²⁺ and PO₄-³ encourages osteogenesis^[21]. It has been established that ionic dissolution products from 45S5 Bioglass and other silicate based glasses can stimulate angiogenesis and expression of several

genes of osteoblasts, which suggests their applicability may supersede primarily osseous tissue^[21-23].

However, if the ion release rate is excessive or associated spikes in pH are not accommodated by the dynamic physiological environment, toxicity can be observed. Previous work by the authors on these compositions has shown both osteoblast and fibroblast toxicity through cell viability assays as a result of rapid glass dissolution^[24, 25].

In an effort to control the dissolution behavior of bioactive glasses two techniques are considered: compositional modification and partial crystallization^[26]. Common compositional changes focus on the inclusion of ions such as Sr²⁺, Ti⁴⁺, Mg²⁺, and Al³⁺ which have been shown to increase network rigidity and decrease glass dissolution^[27-30]. In many cases the composition is selected with the aim of providing specific therapeutic effects, and its alteration is not desirable. In this work the composition was formulated with two goals; the first with the aim of producing a glass that will not contribute to excess calcium (Ca^{2+}) accumulation occurring at the site of nerve injury^[6, 7]. Therefore, Sr^{2+} was utilized in place of Ca^{2+} , as they have previously been shown to play similar roles in both the structure of bioactive glasses and also within the body^[31-33]. As such, it is not available as an option for compositional adjustment. In addition, yttrium (Y^{3+}) and cerium (Ce³⁺) are also included in these glass formulations as they have been shown to increase the stability of the glass network and decrease dissolution^[24, 34]. Additional network forming ions may only produce a marginal decrease in glass dissolution and convolute the determination of the effect Y^{3+} and Ce^{3+} have on the properties of these glasses. The effects of Y^{3+} and Ce^{3+} are of significant interest as excess Ca²⁺ not only results in calcination and excitotoxicity, but also triggers the production of ROS at the injury site. This causes problems in adjacent tissues, where under oxidative stress, a reduction in the ability of the nerve tissue to regenerate and also supporting tissues to aid in recovery is evident^[35]. Y³⁺ and Ce³⁺ have been incorporated with the aim of harnessing the ROS scavenging abilities described by Schubert et al^[9]. In this study, yttria and ceria nanoparticles were found to directly scavenge ROS and provide neuroprotection to cells under oxidative stress, and has been supported in subsequent work^[9, 36]. The antioxidant capacity is attributed to their structure as oxides. In light of these studies, thermal treatment of the glasses may result in the development of Y and Ce oxide phases capable of ROS scavenging. Therefore, in an effort to reduce the dissolution and produce ROS scavenging Y and Ce oxide phases, glasses will be thermally treated at high and low temperatures to produce glass-ceramics. These glassceramics will be evaluated using XRD, SEM, and shrinkage to evaluate the phases produced and resulting differences in physical properties. Dissolution will be evaluated based on inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) ion release and antioxidant capacity will be evaluated for both ground disks and extracts using the ABTS assay. The work herein aims to characterize the properties and antioxidant potential of Y/Ce glass-ceramics for reducing oxidative stress after nerve injury.

2 MATERIALS & METHODS

2.1 Glass Synthesis

Four glasses of varying composition were formulated for this study, one containing yttrium (*HY*), one with cerium (*HCe*), a glass containing both yttrium and cerium, (*YCe*), and one SrO-Na₂O-SiO₂ control glass, (*Control*). The Y₂O₃ and CeO₂ are incorporated into each glass at the expense of Na₂O. Glass compositions (mol%) can be found in Table 1. Glass powders were prepared by weighing out appropriate amounts of analytical grade reagents (Fisher Scientific, PA, USA) and ball milling (1 h). The mix was then oven dried (100°C, 1 h), fired (1500°C, 1 h) in a platinum crucible and shock quenched in water. The resulting frit was dried, ground and sieved to retrieve glass particles <20 µm. Glass powders were ball milled for 24 hours with <75 µm polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) added at 10 wt% to act as a binder when producing disk samples.

2.2 Design of Thermal Profile

Hot stage microscopy (HSM) (Mistura 3.32) was used to obtain the sintering temperature (T_s) at 5% height reduction, for each glass. Samples were formed using glass particulates mixed with Isopropyl Alcohol pressed into a stainless-steel die. The samples were then ejected onto an alumina plate and centered in the HSM furnace for optimal imaging. The samples were heated at a rate of 10°C/min from 30°C to 400°C [5°C/min up to 975°C, and 2°C/min to the final temperature of 1300°C] and images were collected every 12 seconds.

A SDT Q600 Simultaneous Thermal Gravimetric Analyzer-Differential Scanning Calorimetry (TGA-DSC) (TA Instruments, DW, USA) was used to obtain a thermal profile (figure 1) of each glass-PVA particulate, specifically monitoring the PVA burnout, as the T_g and

crystallization temperatures have been previously determined^[34]. A heating rate of 10°C/min was employed in an air atmosphere using alumina as a reference in a matched platinum crucible. Sample measurements were carried out every 6 seconds between 30°C and 500°C. TA Universal Analysis software (TA Instruments, DW, USA) was used to process the data.

2.3 Sample Preparation

2.3.1 Disk Production

A 12 mm Ø stainless steel die was used to press 0.5 g of powder at a pressure of 8 tonnes of pressure. The disks were heat treated at two processing temperatures (T_{p1} and T_{p2}), where the heating profile and processing temperatures are given in figure 1. Processing temperatures were selected at the glass transition (T_g), 50°C above T_g (T_{g+50}), sintering (T_s), and 1st crystallization (T_{c1}) temperatures for each individual glass. Thermally treated disks are denoted by annealed disks (*A*), heated to a max of T_g/T_{g+50} , and sintered disks (*S*), heated to T_s/T_{c1} .

Disk thickness and diameter were measured with digital calipers before and after thermal treatment (n = 15). Averaged values for each glass-thermal treatment combination were used to calculate the average diameter and thickness of each disk type. The shrinkage was calculated according to the following equation:

shrinkage (%) =
$$\frac{x_i - x_t}{x_i} 100$$
 (1)

where X_i = the initial average thickness or diameter, and X_t = the average thickness or diameter after thermal treatment.

2.3.2 Cytocompatibility Studies Extracts.

Disk samples were employed for ion release studies as presented in 2.5 below and liquid aliquots were removed and set aside for cell culture testing after 1, 7 and 14 days of incubation. A 1.5mL aliquot from each sample extract was removed into a sterile vial to be used for cytocompatibility studies.

2.4 High Temperature X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

Powders were analyzed using a custom high temperature XRD furnace using a Siemens D5000 XRD unit with a Vantec1 linear position-sensitive detector. Cu Kα radiation was used, and

measurements were collected over an angular range of $10-70^{\circ} 2\theta$ with scan rate of $2.25^{\circ}/\text{min}$. Patterns were measured at RT (30°C) and from 520 - 1000°C in steps of 20°C. Samples were heated at a rate of 20°C/min and then cooled at 60°C/min. All measurements were performed in static air.

2.5 Ion Release Studies

Sterile liquid extracts were prepared by incubating glass-ceramic disks (n = 3/time period/composition) in sterile 15 mL centrifuge tubes with 5 mL simulated body fluid (SBF) due to the ion content mimicking that of human blood plasma, prepared by the method described by Koboku *et al*^[20]. Disks in tubes without SBF (t = 0/"non-incubated") and tubes containing only SBF were used as controls. SBF containing tubes were sealed and incubated for 1, 7 and 14 days. Upon removal, each sample was filtered (Amicon Ultra-4 Centrifugal Filters, Fisher Scientific, PA, USA) to ensure particle free extracts. The ion release profile of each glass-ceramic and SBF-only samples were measured using Inductively Coupled Plasma–Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP – OES) on a Perkin-Elmer Optima 8000 (Perkin Elmer, MA, USA) with a detection limit <0.1 µg/mL. ICP – OES calibration standards for Si, Sr, Na, Y, and Ce, were prepared from stock solutions (Perkin-Elmer, MA and Fisher Scientific, PA, USA). Na content in SBF solution is presented as the difference in Na content of incubated samples relative to SBF-only samples.

2.6 Antioxidant Capacity

Evaluation of the antioxidant capacity of the glass-ceramic disks was conducted using an 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid (ABTS) assay and Trolox[®] as a standard for comparison. A 7 mM ABTS, 2.45 mM potassium persulfate solution was prepared in DI water and incubated in the dark at room temperatures for 12 hrs. The solution was then diluted to obtain an absorbance of 2.55 ± 0.07 . A 50 mM Trolox[®] solution was prepared by dissolving 25 mg Trolox[®] in 150 µL methanol and adding 1.747 mL DI water and 103 µL 1M NaOH to a final pH of 7.3. Dilutions were made in the range 0.5 mM–10 mM to obtain a Trolox[®] standard curve. Standards, glass-ceramic powders, and extracts (n = 3) were added (10 mg or 25µL) to 0.5 mL ABTS solution in a 1.5 mL centrifuge tube, sealed and vortexed (ground disk samples were centrifuged using an 5415 D centrifuge, Eppendorf, Westbury, NY, USA at 3000 rpm for 2 min) then 100 µL (n = 4) of each sample was pipetted into a 96-well plate. Plates were read at 734 nm using a µQuant Microplate Spectrophotometer to obtain the absorbance. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed to compare the difference in antioxidant capacity between time

periods for each glass and between glass-ceramic powders/extracts. Comparison of relevant means was performed using the post hoc Bonferroni test. Differences between groups was deemed significant when p < 0.05.

2.7 Cytocompatibility Evaluation

MC-3T3-E1 Osteoblasts (ATCC CRL-2593) were maintained on a regular feeding regime with Medium 199 Media (w/Earl's balanced salts and L-glutamine) or Minimum Essential Medium (MEM) Alpha Media (w/L-glutamine, ribonucleosides, and deoxyribonucleosides), respectively, both supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) in a cell culture incubator at $37^{\circ}C/5\%CO_2/95\%$ air atmosphere. Cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 10^4 cells/well and incubated for 24 hrs. Liquid extracts were used for testing (n=9) for each composition, and heat treatment regime after 1-, 7- and 14-days incubation in SBF. Cytocompatibility was tested using the methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium (MTT) assay. 10 µL of MTT reagent was added to each well and incubated for 4 hours ($37^{\circ}C/5\%CO_2$). After incubation each well was aspirated and $100 \ \mu$ L of MTT solubilization solution (10% Triton X-100 in acidic (0.1M HCl, Isopropanol) was added, and mixed by gently pipetting at half the well volume ($50 \ \mu$ L). Once the crystals were fully dissolved, the absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a μ Quant Microplate Spectrophotometer (Bio-tek Instruments Inc., VT, USA). Media wells with the SUPDI was assumed to represent 100% viability to normalize the readings.

3 RESULTS

Initial characterization of these materials has previously been conducted by the authors where each glass composition was determined to be amorphous by X-ray Diffraction (XRD) with a particle size ranging from $4.2 - 4.6 \mu m$ as measured by particle size analysis (PSA)^[34, 37]. Regarding this study, X-ray fluorescence (XRF) was the primary characterization method and was employed to analyze each of the glass batch compositions to that of the experimental determinations (figure 2, table 3). SiO₂ levels were consistent for each of the glasses ranging from 44 – 52 mol% where the batch composition for each glass was 52 mol%. Similarly, SrO levels were consistent with the batch calculations at 24mol% and ranged from 24-28mol% as determined by XRF. Na₂O XRF concentrations were consistent for the Control Glass at 24mol% when compared to the batch composition (24mol%). The experimental glasses HY, YCe and HCe also presented consistent batch Na₂O concentrations to the XRF analysis at 16mol%. The CeO₂ and Y₂O₃ concentrations were only determined for the HCe, YCe and HY as these are not present in the Control glass composition. Both CeO_2 and Y_2O_3 were determined to range from 3-4 mol% for the YCe by XRF, which correlates with the batch composition where each were calculated at 4mol%. For the *HCe* XRF analysis was found to be 9mol% for CeO₂, and for *HY* 9 mol% was also determined for Y₂O₃, similar to the calculated composition of 8mol% for each.

Glass-PVA powders were monitored for burnout of the polymer phase used to stabilize the powder pellets during pressing (figure 3). PVA weight loss between 200°C and 500°C was 81%, followed by *Control-PVA* at 11%, and the Y and Ce containing glasses-PVA from 7-8%. Shrinkage of the disks and changes in thickness and diameter were monitored after thermal processing and the data is presented in figure 4. Samples for each composition were produced by thermal processing (figure 1) to anneal (*A*) the samples and retain a degree of amophicity or induce full crystallization by controlled heat treatment at the sintering temperature (T_s), and the resulting samples are denoted *S*. Changes in thickness and diameter were similar between *A* and *S* samples for *Control, HY* and *YCe* ranging from 2-4%. Diameter shrinkage ranged from 1% (*HY-A*) to 7% (*Control-S* and *YCe-S*), with the remaining disks at 4-5%. *HCe-A* shrinkage was within the previously mentioned ranges at 3% for thickness and 4% in diameter, however *HCe-S* experienced the most prominent changes where the thickness expanded by 60% with a diameter shrinkage of 17%.

High Temperature X-ray diffraction (HT-XRD) was used to analyze changes in each materials structure (annealed - A and sintered -S) as a function of temperature and the results for Control Glass and HY are presented in figure 5a and 5b, while the results for HCe and YCe are presented in figure 6a and 6b. Evolution of crystallinity can be seen for each set of disks where Asamples exhibit partial crystallinity at their processing temperature (Tg), while S-disks show full crystallization at their respective sintering temperature (T_s). Regarding the Control, partial crystallinity was observed early in the heat treatment and amorphicity was lost at approximately 640°C. Crystal phases for the control were identified as Na₆Sr₃Si₆O₁₈, Na₂Si₂O₅ and SrSi₂O₅ (table 2, figure 5a). For the HY samples, the initial glass samples were fully amorphous and experienced partial crystallinity at approximately 640°C and experienced full crystallinity at 840-880°C. The HY-A samples presented the crystalline phases Na₄Sr₂Y₂Si₄O₁₅, SrY₂Si₃O₁₀, Na₆Sr₃Si₆O₁₈, and SrSi₂O₅, while the HY-S samples presented Na₄Sr₂Y₂Si₄O₁₅, Na₆Sr₃Si₆O₁₈, SrSi₂O₅ and SiO₂ (table 2, figure 5b). Regarding the HCe composition, partial crystallinity was present at 720°C and amorphicity was retained until approximately 720-760°C. For the HCe-A samples, the only crystalline species was identified as SiO2. The HCe-S sample experienced more extensive crystalline phases and they were identified as SrSi₂O₅, Na_{0.09}Ce_{0.91}O_{1.87} and SiO₂ (table 2, figure 6a). The crossover composition YCe retained some of its amorphous character until 800-840°C. The crystalline species identified with YCe-A were Na₄Sr₂Y₂Si₄O₁₅, Na₈CeSi₆O₁₈ and SiO₂, while the crystalline phases identified for YCe-S were Na₄Sr₂Y₂Si₄O₁₅, Na₈CeSi₆O₁₈, Na₆Sr₃Si₆O₁₈ and SrSi₂O₅ and the corresponding data is presented in table 2, figure 6b. The hkl planes for each crystalline phase is presented in figure 7.

Ion release data from each composition after annealing (*A*) and sintering (*S*) is presented in figure 8. Regarding the *Control-A*, Si⁴⁺ reaches a maximum of 1550 µg/mL after 7 days incubation, decreasing to 580 µg/mL at 14 days (figure 8a). *Control-S* shows a similar trend where Si⁴⁺ release reaches a maximum 950 µg/mL after 7 days and decreases to 720 µg/mL after 14 days. Regarding the Y³⁺ and Ce³⁺ containing disks, *i.e. HY, YCe, HCe*, Si⁴⁺ release was greatly reduced and ranged from 190 µg/mL to 320 µg/mL over the 14 day period without any significant difference in ion release that can be attributed to thermal treatment. Sr²⁺ release shows trends opposite those of Si⁴⁺ where a maximum release of 13 µg/mL and 24 µg/mL was reached for *Control-A/S* respectively. Y³⁺ and Ce³⁺ containing disks show a significant trend in increasing Sr²⁺ release (figure 8b) where *S*-disk release profiles show significantly higher release at each time period. Sr^{2+} release for these samples ranges from 50 µg/mL from *HCe-A* after 1 day where a maximum of 1085 µg/mL is presented from *HY-S* after 14 days. A common characteristic is that Sr^{2+} release is higher at each time period for the *S* samples when compared to the *A* samples. Na⁺ release is presented in figure 7c as the difference in Na⁺ content in comparison with that of SBF-only samples incubated over the same time period. Similar to Si⁴⁺ release, Na⁺ release from *Control* disks is significantly greater than the other samples however, it does not experience a drop off after 14 days as experienced with Si⁴⁺. Na⁺ release for both glasses (*Control-A* and *Control-S*) is comparable reaching a maximum of 4475 µg/mL after 14 days incubation. Both *HY-A/S* and *YCe-A/S* samples shows an increase in Na⁺ release from 1 to 7 days reaching 630 µg/mL for *A*-samples and 409 µg/mL for *S*-samples. This is followed by a reduction below levels evident in SBF after 14 days. *HCe-A/S* disks also appear to reach a maximum release after 7 days followed by a decrease; however the significance is unclear. The release of Y³⁺ or Ce³⁺ was not detected from any of the Y³⁺ or Ce³⁺ containing materials, *i.e. HY, YCe, HCe*.

Antioxidant capacity is determined by comparing the quantity of the ABTS radical reduced by a known concentration of the antioxidant Trolox to that reduced by the sample and is given in terms of mM Trolox Equivalency (mMTE). The antioxidant capacity of the liquid extracts collected after disk incubation in SBF is presented in figure 9a and shows that the Control extracts have the greatest antioxidant capacity. Control-A extracts show an increase in antioxidant capacity up to 1.14 mMTE after 7 days and a decrease to 0.96 mMTE after 14. Control-S extracts antioxidant capacity increases over the 14 day period to 0.91 mMTE. All of the remaining samples (HY-A, HY-S, YCe-A, YCe-S, HCe-A, HCe-S) fall within the range of 0.31 - 0.51 mMTE and do not exhibit any distinct trends between each time period. Significant differences in antioxidant capacity based on time for each extract are observed for each disk type with the exception of YCe-A and HCe-S. For Control-A, each time period is significantly different from the other two, while for *Control-S* the 1 day value is significantly different from the 7 and 14 day values, but the two are not significantly different from each other. The remaining glasses only show significance between the values at one adjacent time period, though the time periods and trend varies. The antioxidant capacity of the solid disk samples are presented in figure 9b where the Control disks antioxidant potential is at 2.9-3.9 mMTE where the un-incubated (t=0) samples performed better than the incubated (t=7-day) samples. HCe-S un-incubated reached 1.7 mMTE, however the remaining samples fell within the range of 0.8-1.2 mMTE. Significant differences exist between t = 0 and t=7-day samples was found for *Control-A*, *HY-S*, and both *HCe* disk types, where the t = 0 samples showed higher antioxidant capacity. Another trend is observed through the differences that exists as a function of time (t=0 vs t=7 day). For each sample tested there is a slight reduction in antioxidant potential after incubation for 7 days. It was also evident that the *S*-samples preform significantly better than their *A* counterparts; the exception is *YCe* where the opposite is observed.

Cytocompatibility testing was conducted using liquid extracts for each composition and heat treatment (A and S) after 1, 7 and 14 days incubation and the resulting data is presented in figure 10. It is evident that there is relatively little difference between the heat treatment samples A and S, and at each time period there is no significant reduction in cell viability for any of the compositions tested, at any time period. Cell viability was observed to increase (>100%) more frequently for the A samples for *Control, HY, YCe* and *HCe*. Overall, no significant reduction in cell viability was observed for any of the compositions tested.

4 DISCUSSION

In an effort to reduce the dissolution of the original glass series thermal processing was utilized to crystalize disk samples from each composition. Initial burnout of the polymer phase prior to reaching the lowest processing temperature is confirmed through thermal analysis where of the 10% addition all Y and Ce glasses reached 7-8% weight loss corresponding to the 81% observed in the PVA sample by 500°C. Con reached 11% weight loss which can be attributed to its small particle size and highly reactive nature ^[24], where the additional 3% is due to loss of free water and -OH groups from the surface^[26]. Following the burnout of the PVA and approaching the processing temperature, glasses are expected to follow similar sintering behavior to that which is seen in 45S5 Bioglass, beginning with the initial phase of densification just after the Tg is reached through viscous flow, onset of crystallization where viscosity increases preventing viscous flow, and secondary densification where increasing temperatures cause viscosity decrease and viscous flow to resume^[38]. Using HSM, the highest processing temperature used for each disk type corresponded to a 5% volume reduction. Shrinkage calculations suggest each sample reached 1-7% of both thickness and diameter with minimal differences between disks processed at high (S) versus low (A) temperatures with the exception of HCe-S. The similarity in shrinkage may be a result of the heating rate. A study by Bretcanu et al found the heating rate to correlate with the magnitude of shrinkage, where at a heating rate of 10°C/min 45S5 powder forms reached a maximum shrinkage of 6% at 900°C^[38]. This suggests that the heating rate of 10°C/min selected for this work limited the shrinkage around 6%, and coupled with the holding temperatures selected, A-disks were likely held in the temperature range favoring viscous flow for the duration of their thermal treatment, while S-disks were heated through the region allowing viscous flow into the plateau where viscosity is too high due to crystallization and neither entered the second densification. The HCe-S samples are the exception to this where thickness expansion and diameter shrinkage relates to an overall expansion of the disk samples. One consideration for this is that the T_s is greater than the T_c and may also approach the temperature required for second densification. Therefore, upon thermal treatment these samples pass through the viscous flow and crystallization regions to reach the sintering temperature and returned to the T_c. HCe HSM trace reveals a 10% volume increase initiating around 1100°C and peaking at nearly 1150°C. While the maximum processing temperature for these samples was 963°C, HSM was running at a rate of 2°C/min and the samples were treated at a rate 10°C/min. Bretcanu's study also shows the effect of heating rate on different characteristic temperatures where Tg and Tc increase with increasing heating rate and onset T_m decreases. It is possible that the onset of the expansion seen in the HSM trace occurred at a lower temperature during the thermal treatment as a result of the increased heating rate. In conjunction with a potential onset temperature decrease, the furnace may have slightly overshot approaching the target temperature. The expansion seen in the HSM trace and HCe-S disks suggest the release of a gaseous phase resulting in swelling of the samples. This is not observed in the other HSM traces and can therefore be attributed to the presence of Ce, despite the presence of Ce in the YCe disks for which a study by Li et al may also support an explanation. The reduction of Ce^{4+} to Ce^{3+} at higher temperatures (~1000°C) is associated with structural expansion of the unit cell by 17% and creation of oxygen vacancies for charge compensation. This combination likely produces the expansion seen in the HCe-S samples^[39]. This does not appear in the YCe HSM traces as Y has been shown to reduce the reduction of Ce^{4+} to Ce^{3+} as well as stabilize the transition^[39]. The presence of Ce crystallites in the HCe-S glass-ceramic confirmed by the identification of a sodium-cerium-oxide phase (suggesting Na⁺ was charge compensating within the glassy network) in the corresponding XRD patterns, both of which are only observed in the HCe-S disk; lending further support to the Ce reduction mediated expansion characteristic of the HCe-S disks. The Control disks XRD patterns present the primary sodium-strontium-silicate and secondary strontium-silicate phases, which can be compared to the sodium-calcium-silicate and

calcium-silicate phases commonly generated during typical bioactive glass sintering $^{[26, 38, 40]}$, and are prominent in each Y^{3+} and Ce^{3+} containing disk suggesting that despite the complete crystallinity, dissolution and HCA layer formation may still occur.

Ion release studies reveal Con-A/S disks are reactive in SBF and release significantly higher levels of Si⁴⁺ and Na⁺ and lower levels of Sr²⁺ than the Y³⁺ and Ce³⁺ containing disks. Si⁴⁺ release approaching 1550 µg/mL exceeds typical levels released by bioactive glasses where a solubility limit is reached at 120 µg/mL up to a pH of 9; this suggests that the pH of the extracts are slightly higher where the solubility limit begins to increase exponentially^[41, 42]. The maximum value of Si⁴⁺ release from the *Control* disks 10x lower than that observed from the original glass powders, though a similar drop-off in concentration is observed after 7 days incubation. This suggests that the Si⁴⁺ release reaches the solubility limit after 7 days and proceeds to precipitate over the duration of the 14 day time period, though at a lower pH and corresponding concentration than that of the original glass powders^[43]. Na⁺ is typically found in physiological fluids at concentrations ranging from 2,500-3,500 µg/mL^[20, 44]; the original glass and *Control-A/S* disks Na⁺ release exceeds these levels with only a minor decrease associated with the thermal treatment, though the rate of release was slowed reaching a maximum release at 14 days in comparison to the first day^[24]. Sr^{2+} , which was released in excess from the original glasses at a maximum of 100 µg/mL, decreased to a maximum of 13 -24 µg/mL from the Con-A/S disks bringing it into the range found to support healthy bone mineralization^[45,46]. Ion release levels for *Control* disks are still high but a reduction in Si⁴⁺ and Sr²⁺ release by a factor of 10 upon thermal treatment will likely reduce the associated toxicity to levels seen for the original Y^{3+} and Ce^{3+} containing glasses with similar release^[24]. Si⁴⁺ release from HCe disks decreased by a factor of 2 from the original glasses and showed only minimal decreases by HY and YCe disks. These levels were found to pose minimal toxicity to fibroblasts and osteoblasts through cell viability assays^[24, 25]. With the exception of *HCe-A*, Na⁺ released by the Y³⁺ and Ce³⁺ containing disks increases over the initial 7 days of incubation with a maximum of 630 µg/mL which is well within physiological levels. HCe-A is the exception where Na⁺ release remains within physiological levels but peaks at 1525 µg/mL and subsequently precipitates to 66 μ g/mL. Sr²⁺ release for the original glasses fell within the optimum range from 10.6 to 0.9 μ g/mL, however, these levels are dramatically higher levels from the Y³⁺ and Ce³⁺ containing disks. While Sr^{2+} levels are excessive, any excess is excreted through the kidneys in the same manner as Ca²⁺, thereby reducing the potential for toxic effects^[46, 47]. In addition, disks

treated at higher temperatures exceed the Sr^{2+} concentrations compared to those treated at lower temperatures. This is indicative of structural effects associated with thermally treating the disks where Y^{3+} and Ce^{3+} are able to adopt optimum configurations causing Sr^{2+} to sit in weaker network positions, and allowing for freedom of movement through particular phases, network channels, or phase boundaries. Further work is required to clarify the structural role of Sr^{2+} within the network and describe the significantly increased propensity for dissolution from the glass-ceramic network. Y^{3+} and Ce^{3+} are retained within the glass-ceramic network as seen with the original glasses and is in agreement with the literature^[34, 48, 49].

 Y^{3+} and Ce^{3+} were incorporated in the glass composition with the aim of developing structures within the glass network similar to those present in yttria and ceria nanoparticles that have previously been shown to provide protection to damaged nerve tissue from oxidative stress. It is clear in both the ground disks and liquid extracts from incubation in SBF, that the Control samples show the greatest propensity for ROS scavenging. In addition, the antioxidant capacity associated with the solid disk samples is double that of the liquid extracts, and the Control disks have double the capacity of the Y³⁺ and Ce³⁺ containing disks. Therefore, in these glass-ceramics Y^{3+} and Ce^{3+} can be considered inhibitors of ROS scavenging. Since Y^{3+} and Ce^{3+} are known to increase the rigidity of the glass network which leads to reduced solubility it may follow that the antioxidant capacity is related to the reactivity of both the surface and dissolution products^{[34, 48-} ^{51]}. The lack of Y^{3+} and Ce^{3+} in the extracts further eliminates them as a source for the ROS scavenging ability of these glass-ceramics. Therefore, it is likely due to the silanol surface (Si-OH) and possibly negatively charged silicic acid species that may be present in the extracts^[52-54]. The negatively charged surface or extract species may account for the neutralization of the ABTS radical cation through strong electrostatic interactions or electron donation^[54, 55]. Cytocompatibility studies however, show that despite the differences in ion release from each of the materials, no significant decrease in osteoblast viability was observed. It is likely that modifications to the glass composition, *i.e.* increase in network modifiers, changing the concentration of Y^{3+} and Ce^{3+} will result in a less rigid network in the *HY*, *YCe* and *HCe* starting glasses. This may result in a network the is more soluble, and more favorable to the Y^{3+} and Ce^{3+} forming antioxidant structures.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The thermal treatment of Y^{3+} and Ce^{3+} glasses were shown to produce glass-ceramics with varying degrees of crystallinity and associated shrinkage with the exception of the *HCe-S* samples which exhibits an expansion due to Ce^{4+} reduction. The resulting phases reduce Si^{4+} and Sr^{2+} release from *Con-A/S* samples while Na⁺ release remained consistent. Y^{3+} and Ce^{3+} containing disks shows a significant increase in release of Sr^{4+} after thermal treatment, exceeding levels found to promote bone mineralization. Antioxidant capacity remained at 2.9-3.9 mMTE for treated *Control* disks and fell to < 1 mMTE for the Y^{3+} and Ce^{3+} glass-ceramics. Therefore, the formation of glass-ceramics partially reduces solubility, while maintaining antioxidant capacity. Minor changes to the glass composition may result in enhancing the antioxidant capacity, however it is highly beneficial that these glass compositions did not cause any cytotoxicity and will likely present excellent bioactivity. Antioxidant improvement and the potential for bioactivity through the deposition of a HCA layer will therefore be the subject of future work.

Funding Source.

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors

Acknowledgements.

The authors wish to gratefully acknowledge Prof. Scott Misture and the use of the X-ray Diffraction Laboratory at Alfred University for the collection of High Temperature -X-Ray Diffraction data.

The Author(s) declare(s) that there is no conflict of interest.

 Table 1. Glass Compositions in Mol%.

	Con	HY	YCe	HCe
SiO ₂	52	52	52	52
SrO	24	24	24	24
Na ₂ O	24	16	16	16
Y ₂ O ₃	-	8	4	-
CeO ₂	-	-	4	8

Table 2. PDF and Molecular Formula (MF) for identified phases.

		Phase Description	PDF ID	MF
	Α	Sodium Strontium Silicate	04-014-2585	Na ₆ Sr ₃ Si ₆ O ₁₈
		Sodium Silicate	00-023-0529	Na ₂ Si ₂ O ₅
Com		Strontium Silicate	00-058-0576/04-012-0759	SrSi ₂ O ₅
COIL	S	Sodium Strontium Silicate	04-014-2585	Na ₆ Sr ₃ Si ₆ O ₁₈
		Sodium Silicate	00-023-0529	Na ₂ Si ₂ O ₅
		Strontium Silicate	00-058-0576/04-012-0759	SrSi ₂ O ₅
	Α	Sodium Strontium Yttrium Silicate	00-059-0766	$Na_4Sr_2Y_2Si_4O_{15}$
		Strontium Yttrium Silicate	04-017-9226	$SrY_2Si_3O_{10}$
		Sodium Strontium Silicate	04-014-2585	$Na_6Sr_3Si_6O_{18}$
цv		Strontium Silicate	00-058-0576/04-012-0759	SrSi ₂ O ₅
пт	S	Sodium Strontium Yttrium Silicate	00-059-0766	$Na_4Sr_2Y_2Si_4O_{15}$
		Sodium Strontium Silicate	04-014-2585	$Na_6Sr_3Si_6O_{18}$
		Strontium Silicate	00-058-0576/04-012-0759	SrSi ₂ O ₅
		Silicon Oxide	01-075-3159/01-073-3418	SiO ₂
	Α	Sodium Strontium Yttrium Silicate	00-059-0766	$Na_4Sr_2Y_2Si_4O_{15}$
		Sodium Cerium Silicate	04-018-2280	Na ₈ CeSi ₆ O ₁₈
		Silicon Oxide	01-075-3159/01-073-3418	SiO ₂
YCe	S	Sodium Strontium Yttrium Silicate	00-059-0766	$Na_4Sr_2Y_2Si_4O_{15}$
		Sodium Cerium Silicate	04-018-2280	Na ₈ CeSi ₆ O ₁₈
		Sodium Strontium Silicate	04-014-2585	$Na_6Sr_3Si_6O_{18}$
		Strontium Silicate	00-058-0576/04-012-0759	SrSi ₂ O ₅
	Α	Silicon Oxide	01-075-3159/01-073-3418	SiO ₂
HCe	S	Strontium Silicate	00-058-0576/04-012-0759	SrSi ₂ O ₅
		Sodium Cerium Oxide	04-015-0264	Na0.09Ce0.91O1.87
		Silicon Oxide	01-075-3159/01-073-3418	SiO ₂

		Control			HY	
	Calc.	XRF	Diff.	Calc.	XRF	Diff
	0.52	0.49	0.03	0.52	0.47	0.05
	0.24	0.31	-0.07	0.24	0.28	-0.04
	0.24	0.20	0.04	0.16	0.17	-0.0
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.09	-0.0
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-		LY			YCE	
-	Calc.	XRF	Diff.	Calc.	XRF	Diff
	0.52	0.48	0.04	0.52	0.46	0.00
	0.24	0.27	-0.03	0.24	0.28	-0.0
	0.20	0.21	-0.01	0.16	0.17	-0.0
	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.05	-0.0
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.05	-0.0
-		LCe			НСе	
	Calc.	XRF	Diff.	Calc.	XRF	Diff
	0.52	0.47	0.05	0.52	0.47	0.05
	0.24	0.27	-0.03	0.24	0.27	-0.0
	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.16	0.17	-0.0
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.04	0.05	-0.01	0.08	0.09	-0.0

Table 3. Tabulated data for the X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) results, the batch calculated composition for each glass

 compared o the composition determined using XRF.



Figure 1. Thermal treatment profile and processing temperatures for annealed (A) and sintered (S) disks.



Figure 2. X-ray Fluorescence of each of the starting materials comparing calculated (Cal.) compositions to XRF analyzed compositions.



Figure 3. Weight change of PVA and glass-PVA powders up to 500°C.



Figure 4. % shrinkage of *Con, HY, YCe* and *HCe* disks after thermal processing.



Figure 5. High Temperature X-ray Diffraction profiles of a.) Con and a.) HY materials from room temperature to $\sim 1000^{\circ}$ C.



Figure 6. High Temperature X-ray Diffraction profiles of a.) *HCe* and b.) *YCe* materials from room temperature to ~1000°C.



Figure 7. hkl planes for each crystalline phase identified for each composition as presented in table 2.



Figure 8. Ion release profiles determined for a.) Si, b.) Sr and c.) Na from *Con*, *HY*, *YCe* and *HCe* after 1-, 7- and 14-days incubation in Simulated Body Fluid (SBF).

a.) Antioxidant Capacity of Liquid Extracts







Figure 9. Antioxidant capacity of *Con, HY, YCe* and *HCe* considering efficacy of a.) liquid extracts and b.) solid disk samples.



Figure 10. MTT testing of *Control*, *HY*, *YCe* and *HCe* liquid extracts using MC 3T3 Osteoblasts after 1, 7 and 14 days incubation.

- 1. Tohda C and Kuboyama T. Current and future therapeutic strategies for functional repair of spinal cord injury. *Pharma Therap* 2011; 132 (1): 57-71.
- 2. Bartholdi D and Schwab ME. Degeneration and regeneration of axons in the lesioned spinal cord. *Phys Rev* 1996; 76(2): 319-70.
- 3. Stichel CC and Müller HW. Experimental strategies to promote axonal regeneration after traumatic central nervous system injury. *Prog Neurobio* 1998; 56 (2): 119-48.
- Dugan L, Sensi S, Canzoniero L, Handran S, Rothman S, Lin T, Goldberg M and Choi D. Mitochondrial production of reactive oxygen species in cortical neurons following exposure to N-methyl-D-aspartate. *J Neurosci* 1995; 15 (10): p. 6377-88.
- Tymianski CH and Tator M. Normal and abnormal calcium homeostasis in neurons: a basis for the pathophysiology of traumatic and ischemic central nervous system injury. *Neurosur* 1996; 38(6): 1176-95.

- Choi DW. Calcium and excitotoxic neuronal injury. *Ann New York Acad Sci* 1994; 747(1): 162-71.
- Wolf JA, Stys PK, Lusardi T, Meaney D and Smith DH. Traumatic axonal injury induces calcium influx modulated by tetrodotoxin-sensitive sodium channels. *J Neurosci* 2001; 21(6): 1923-30.
- 8. LoPachin RM and Lehning EJ. Mechanism of calcium entry during axon injury and degeneration. *Tox App Pharma* 1997; 143(2): 233-44.
- 9. Schubert D, Dargusch R, Raitano J and Chan S-W. Cerium and yttrium oxide nanoparticles are neuroprotective. *Biochem Biophy Res Comm* 2006; 342(1): 86-91.
- 10. Hench LL. The story of Bioglass. J Mat Sci:Mat Med 2006; 17: 967-978.
- Jones JR. Review of bioactive glass: from Hench to hybrids. *Acta Biomater* 2013; 9: 4457-4486.
- 12. Rahaman MN, Day DE, Sonny Bal B, Fu Q, Jung SB and Bonewald LF. Bioactive glass in tissue engineering. *Acta Biomater* 2014; 7: 2355-73.
- 13. Chen QZ, Thompson ID and Boccaccini AR. 45S5 Bioglass®-derived glass-ceramic scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. *Biomat* 2006; 27(11): 2414-2425.
- Vargas GE, Mesones RV, Bretcanu O, López JMP, Boccaccini AR and Gorustovich A. Biocompatibility and bone mineralization potential of 45S5 Bioglass®-derived glassceramic scaffolds in chick embryos. *Acta Biomater* 2009; 5(1): 374-380.
- Wren AW, Coughlan A, Laffir FR and Towler MR. Comparison of a SiO₂-CaO-ZnO-SrO glass polyalkenoate cement to commercial dental materials: Glass structure and physical properties. *J Mat Sci:Mat Med* 2013; 24(2): 271-280.
- Wren AW, Coughlan A, German MJ, Hall MM and Towler MR. Comparison of a SiO₂-CaO-ZnO-SrO glass polyalkenoate cement to commercial dental materials: ion release, biocompatibility and antibacterial properties *J Mat Sci:Mat Med*, 2013; 24: (9): 2255-64.
- Wren AW, Boyd D, Thornton R, Cooney JC and Towler MR., Antibacterial properties of a tri-sodium citrate modified glass polyalkenoate cement. *J Biomed Mat Res B: App Biomat* 2009; 90-B(2): 700-709.
- da Costa Guimaraes C, Moralles MC and Roberto Martinelli J. Monte Carlo simulation of liver cancer treatment with 166Ho-loaded glass microspheres. *Rad Phys Chem* 2014; 95: 185-187.

- Bortot MB, Prastalo S and Prado M. Production and characterization of glass microspheres for hepatic cancer treatment. *Proc Mat Sci* 2012; 1(0): 351-358.
- Kokubo T and Takadama H. How useful is SBF in predicting in vivo bone bioactivity? *Biomat* 2006; 27(15): 2907-15.
- Hoppe A, Güldal NS and Boccaccini AR. A review of the biological response to ionic dissolution products from bioactive glasses and glass-ceramics. *Biomat* 2011; 32(11): 2757-74.
- 22. Saffarian Tousi N, Velten MF, Bishop TJ, Leong KK, Barkhordar NS, Marshall GW, Loomer PM, Aswath PB and Varanasi VG. Combinatorial effect of Si⁴⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺ released from bioactive glasses on osteoblast osteocalcin expression and biomineralization. *Mat Sci Eng C*, 2013; 33(5): 2757-2765.
- 23. Hench LL. Genetic design of bioactive glass. J Euro Cer Soc 2009; 29(7): 1257-1265.
- Placek LM, Keenan TJ, Coughlan A and Wren AW. Investigating the effect of glass ion release on the cytocompatibility, antibacterial efficacy and antioxidant activity Y₂O₃ / CeO₂ doped SiO₂-SrO-Na₂O glass extract. *Biomed Glasses* 2018; 4: 32-44.
- 25. Placek LM and Wren AW. Cytocompatibility of Y₂O₃ and CeO₂ Containing Bioactive Glasses to Aid in Spinal Cord Recovery. *41st Annual Northeast Bioengineering Conference (NEBEC)*, Troy, NY, April 17th-19th USA, 2015, https://web.northeastern.edu/nebec14/contributed-presentations/.
- Lefebvre L, Chevalier J, Gremillard L, Zenati R, Thollet G, Bernache-Assolant D and Govin A. Structural transformations of bioactive glass 45S5 with thermal treatments. *Acta Mater* 2007; 55(10): 3305-3313.
- 27. Massera J and Hupa L. Influence of SrO substitution for CaO on the properties of bioactive glass S53P4. *J Mat Sci:Mat Med* 2014; 25(3): 657-68.
- Massera J, Hupa L and Hupa M. Influence of the partial substitution of CaO with MgO on the thermal properties and *in vitro* reactivity of the bioactive glass S53P4. *J Non-Crys Sol* 2012; 358(18–19): 2701-7.
- Wren AW, Coughlan A, Smale KE, Misture ST, Mahon BP, Clarkin OM and Towler MR. Fabrication of CaO–NaO–SiO₂/TiO₂ scaffolds for surgical applications. *J Mat Sci:Mat Med* 2012; 23(12): 2881-91.

- El-Kheshen AA, Khaliafa FA, Saad EA and Elwan RL. Effect of Al₂O₃ addition on bioactivity, thermal and mechanical properties of some bioactive glasses. *Cer Int* 2008; 34(7): 1667-1673.
- Fredholm YC, Karpukhina N, Brauer DS, Jones JR, Law RV and Hill RG. Influence of strontium for calcium substitution in bioactive glasses on degradation, ion release and apatite formation. *J Roy Soc Inter* 2012; 9(70): 880-9.
- Gentleman E, Fredholm YC, Jell G, Lotfibakhshaiesh N, O'Donnell MD, Hill RG and Stevens MM. The effects of strontium-substituted bioactive glasses on osteoblasts and osteoclasts *in vitro*. *Biomat* 2010; 31(14): 3949-56.
- 33. Marie PJ, Ammann P, Boivin G and Rey C. Mechanisms of action and therapeutic potential of strontium in bone. *Calc Tis Int* 2001; 69(3): 121-9.
- Placek LM, Keenan TJ, Laffir F, Coughlan A and Wren AW. Characterization of Y₂O₃ and CeO₂ doped SiO₂-SrO-Na₂O bioactive glasses. *Biomed Glasses* 2015; 1: 159-172.
- 35. Bains M and Hall ED. Antioxidant therapies in traumatic brain and spinal cord injury. *Bioch Biophy Acta - Mol Bas Dis* 2012; 1822(5): 675-84.
- 36. Estevez AY, Pritchard S, Harper K, Aston JW, Lynch A, Lucky JJ, Ludington JS, Chatani P, Mosenthal WP, Leiter JC, Andreescu S and Erlichman JS. Neuroprotective mechanisms of cerium oxide nanoparticles in a mouse hippocampal brain slice model of ischemia. *Free Rad Bio Med* 2011; 51(6): 1155-63.
- Placek LM, Keenan TJ and Wren AW. Bioactivity of Y₂O₃ and CeO₂ doped SiO₂-SrO-Na₂O glass-ceramics. *J Biomat App* 2016 3(2): 165-180.
- Bretcanu O, Chatzistavrou X, Paraskevopoulos K, Conradt R, Thompson I and Boccaccini AR. Sintering and crystallisation of 45S5 Bioglass® powder. *J Euro Cer Soc* 2009; 29(16): 3299-3306.
- Li Z-P, Mori T, Ye F, Ou D, Auchterlonie GJ, Zou J and Drennan J. Cerium-Reduction-Induced Defects clustering, ordering, and associated microstructure evolution in yttriumdoped ceria. *J Phys Chem C*, 2012; 116(9): 5435-5443.
- 40. ElBatal HA, Azooz MA, Khalil EMA, Soltan Monem A and Hamdy YM. Characterization of some bioglass–ceramics. *Mat Chem Phys* 2003; 80(3): 599-609.
- 41. Plettinck S, Chou L and Wollast R. Kinetics and mechanisms of dissolution of silica at room temperature and pressure. *Mineral Mag A*, 1994; 58: 728-9.

- 42. Dent Glasser LS and Kataoka N. The chemistry of 'alkali-aggregate' reaction. *Cem Con Res* 1981; 11(1): 1-9.
- Fleming BA. Kinetics of reaction between silicic acid and amorphous silica surfaces in NaCl solutions. *J Coll Int Sci* 1986; 110(1): 40-64.
- 44. Mandal R, Guo AC, Chaudhary KK, Liu P, Yallou FS, Dong E, Aziat F and Wishart DS. Multi-platform characterization of the human cerebrospinal fluid metabolome: a comprehensive and quantitative update. *Gen Med* 2012; 4(4): 38-38.
- 45. Verberckmoes SC, De Broe ME and D'Haese PC. Dose-dependent effects of strontium on osteoblast function and mineralization. *Kid Int* 2003; 64(2):534-43.
- 46. Pors Nielsen S. The biological role of strontium. *Bone*, 2004; 35(3): 583-8.
- 47. O'Donnell MD, Candarlioglu PL, Miller CA, Gentleman E and Stevens MM. Materials characterisation and cytotoxic assessment of strontium-substituted bioactive glasses for bone regeneration. *J Mat Chem* 2010; 20(40): 8934-41.
- 48. Cacaina D, Ylanen H, Hupa M and Simon S. Study of yttrium containing bioactive glasses behaviour in simulated body fluid. *J Mat Sci:Mat Med* 2006; 17(8): 709-16.
- Leonelli C, Lusvardi G, Malavasi G, Menabue L and Tonelli M. Synthesis and characterization of cerium-doped glasses and in vitro evaluation of bioactivity. *J Non-Crys Sol* 2003; 316(2–3): 198-216.
- 50. Christie JK and Tilocca A. Aluminosilicate glasses as yttrium vectors for *in situ* radiotherapy: understanding composition-durability effects through molecular dynamics simulations. *Chem Mat* 2010; **22**(12): 3725-34.
- Du J, Kokou L, Rygel JL, Chen Y, Pantano CG, Woodman R and Belcher J. Structure of cerium phosphate glasses: molecular dynamics simulation. *J Amer Cer Soc* 2011; 94(8): 2393-401.
- 52. Greenberg SA and Sinclair D. The polymerization of silicic acid. *J Phys Chem* 1955; 59(5):
 435-40.
- 53. Goto K. Effect of pH on polymerization of silicic acid. J Phys Chem 1956; 60(7): 1007-8.
- 54. Coradin T and Livage J. Effect of some amino acids and peptides on silicic acid polymerization. *Coll Sur B* 2001; 21(4): 329-36.
- 55. Chan SH. A review on solubility and polymerization of silica. *Geother* 1989; 18(1): 49-56.